



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,007	01/23/2002	Takeya Miwa	Q68157	1215
23373	7590	07/25/2003		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER NGUYEN, PHONG H	
			ART UNIT 3724	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CS

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/053,007	MIWA, TAKEYA
	Examiner Phong H Nguyen	Art Unit 3724

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Phong H Nguyen. (3) Allan Shoap.
 (2) Ruthleen Uy. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 July 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Allan N. Shoap
 Allan N. Shoap
 Supervisory Patent Examiner
 Group 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Phong H. Nguyen
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

- To clarify the position of the Examiner regarding the Applicant's device 11 in Fig. 1, the Examiner regards the device 11 as a cutting device based on the submitted disclosure and the claim language.
- The Applicant will provide a response that attempts to prove the Examiner is incorrect in stating that a cutter is being claimed. It may be possible to amend the Specification and Claims to clarify that an electrical connector is being claimed, per se, and not a cutting apparatus with a connector structure. Applicant is advised against any insertion that may constitute new matters. It is noted that claim 5 defines a cutter in the process.
- Ms. Uy requested that the Office Action filed on 7/2/2003 be vacated. The Applicant has not provided any evidence that the Examiner's interpretation could not be correct based on the submitted Specification and Claims. This Office Action will not be vacated. If the Applicant is able to make a showing that the interpretation made by the Examiner is in error without any fault of the Applicant, a new non-Final Office Action will be provided. Otherwise, the Applicant should expect the next Office Action to be a Final whether based on the Examiner's or the Applicant's interpretation (including the possible application of new art based on Applicant's presented interpretation in the response).